

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-------------|
| <i>Preface by the Co-Editors</i> | <i>i</i> |
| <i>Foreword by Fatou Bensouda</i> | <i>vii</i> |
| <i>Foreword by Manoj Kumar Sinha</i> | <i>xiii</i> |
| <i>Prologue by Gregory S. Gordon</i> | <i>xvii</i> |

Investigative Bottlenecks and the Mindset of Quality Control 1

By Xabier Agirre Aranburu and Morten Bergsmo

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Investigation and Preparation of Fact-Rich Cases: | |
| The Quality Control Framework | 1 |
| 1.1. Some Words on the Context of the Discourse on Quality Control..... | 1 |
| 1.2. The Need to Enhance Quality Control is Not Sensitive | 3 |
| 1.3. Seven Bottlenecks | 5 |
| 1.3.1. Loss of the Overview of Information | 6 |
| 1.3.2. Inadequate Factual Analysis..... | 7 |
| 1.3.3. Uneven Evidence-Review | 7 |
| 1.3.4. Formulation of Responsibility..... | 8 |
| 1.3.5. Charging Without Proper Focus in the Case | 8 |
| 1.3.6. Too Much Evidence..... | 9 |
| 1.3.7. Voluminous Disclosure..... | 9 |
| 1.4. Further Challenges | 9 |
| 1.5. Structuring an Open Inquiry..... | 10 |
| 2. Main Themes of the Anthology | 13 |
| 3. Overview of Subsequent Chapters of the Anthology | 17 |
| 4. Some Thoughts on the Way Forward | 24 |

PART I: THE CONTEXT

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. From Preliminary Examination to Investigation: Rethinking the Connection | 37 |
| <i>By Carsten Stahn</i> | |
| 1.1. Introduction | 37 |

| | | |
|--------|--|-----|
| 1.2. | Structural Differences Between Preliminary Examinations and Investigations | 39 |
| 1.3. | Two Macro Problems..... | 42 |
| 1.3.1. | Cognitive Bias | 43 |
| 1.3.2. | Addressing Bottlenecks | 44 |
| 1.4. | The Structure of International Criminal Investigations | 58 |
| 1.5. | Some Thoughts on the Way Ahead..... | 61 |
| 1.5.1. | Planning..... | 61 |
| 1.5.2. | Role and Structure of Investigative Teams | 62 |
| 1.5.3. | Place-based Approach..... | 63 |
| 1.5.4. | In-depth Investigation and Bottom-up Strategy..... | 64 |
| 1.5.5. | Peer Review System | 64 |
| 1.6. | To be Continued..... | 65 |
| 2. | Investigating International Crimes: Pitfalls, Problems and Promises | 67 |
| | <i>By Thijs B. Bouwknecht</i> | |
| 2.1. | Introduction | 67 |
| 2.2. | Pitfalls and Problems | 71 |
| 2.3. | Investigating Atrocity | 73 |
| 2.4. | Investigations in Africa..... | 76 |
| 2.4.1. | International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda..... | 77 |
| 2.4.2. | Special Court for Sierra Leone | 79 |
| 2.4.3. | Democratic Republic of the Congo: Pandora's Box | 81 |
| 2.4.4. | Uganda..... | 93 |
| 2.4.5. | Côte d'Ivoire..... | 94 |
| 2.5. | Conclusion: Promises | 112 |

**PART II:
EVIDENCE AND ANALYSIS**

| | | |
|--------|---|-----|
| 3. | The Contribution of Analysis to the Quality Control in Criminal Investigation | 117 |
| | <i>By Xabier Agirre Aranburu</i> | |
| 3.1. | Introduction: The Role of Analysts..... | 117 |
| 3.1.1. | The Cycle..... | 125 |
| 3.2. | Source Evaluation..... | 128 |
| 3.2.1. | The Concept..... | 129 |
| 3.2.2. | Most Frequent Biases and Limitations | 136 |
| 3.2.3. | Multi-Disciplinary Overview of Methods | 169 |
| 3.2.4. | Recommended Method..... | 182 |
| 3.2.5. | The Practice of the ICC Chambers | 228 |

| | | |
|--------|---|-----|
| 3.2.6. | Conclusion | 242 |
| 3.3. | Diagnostic Techniques | 243 |
| 3.3.1. | Key Assumptions Check | 243 |
| 3.3.2. | Analysis of Competing Hypotheses ('ACH') | 245 |
| 3.3.3. | Case Evaluation Table | 251 |
| 3.3.4. | Case Evaluation Chart | 254 |
| 3.4. | Adversarial Techniques | 255 |
| 3.4.1. | Devil's Advocate | 257 |
| 3.4.2. | Red Teaming | 258 |
| 3.5. | Evidence Review Boards | 259 |
| 3.5.1. | Status | 268 |
| 3.5.2. | Scope | 268 |
| 3.5.3. | Standard of Evidence | 269 |
| 3.5.4. | Team Preparation | 271 |
| 3.5.5. | Reviewer Preparation | 271 |
| 3.5.6. | Composition | 271 |
| 3.5.7. | Independence | 272 |
| 3.5.8. | Method | 272 |
| 4. | Analysis of Organisational Structures and Quality Control of Case Development | 273 |
| | <i>By Christian Axboe Nielsen</i> | |
| 4.1. | Strategic vs. Case-Based Analysis | 279 |
| 4.2. | Remembering the Flip Side | 283 |
| 4.3. | Evidence-Based, Not Target-Based | 285 |
| 4.4. | Breadth vs. Depth | 287 |
| 4.5. | Navigating Tensions During Verification Analysis | 289 |
| 4.6. | For Whom is Analysis Produced? | 290 |
| 4.7. | Conclusion | 295 |
| 5. | Interviewing Victims and Witnesses of Crime | 297 |
| | <i>By Trond Myklebust, Gavin Oxburgh and William Webster</i> | |
| 5.1. | Background to Investigative Interviewing | 298 |
| 5.2. | Interview Models | 299 |
| 5.3. | Interview Training | 302 |
| 5.4. | Recurring Themes Across Interview Research | 304 |
| 5.4.1. | Humane and Non-Coercive Interviewing Approach ... | 304 |
| 5.4.2. | The Use of Rapport | 307 |
| 5.4.3. | The Use of Empathy | 309 |
| 5.4.4. | Question Typologies | 312 |
| 5.5. | Interviewing Vulnerable Witnesses | 314 |

| | | |
|--------|--|-----|
| 5.5.1. | Cognitive Interview | 315 |
| 5.5.2. | NICHD Protocol | 316 |
| 5.5.3. | ABE in Criminal Proceedings Guidelines | 317 |
| 5.5.4. | The Nordic Model..... | 320 |
| 5.5.5. | Registered Intermediaries | 321 |
| 5.6. | Conclusion | 321 |
| 6. | Child Soldier or Soldier? Estimating Age in Cases of Core International Crimes: Challenges and Opportunities | 323 |
| | <i>By Moa Lidén</i> | |
| 6.1. | Introduction | 323 |
| 6.2. | Challenges and Opportunities with Age Estimations | 330 |
| 6.3. | Diagnostic Accuracy of Age Estimations | 332 |
| 6.4. | Age Estimations in Child Soldiering Cases..... | 339 |
| 6.4.1. | Method..... | 339 |
| 6.4.2. | Results..... | 340 |
| 6.5. | Challenges and Opportunities with Age Estimations | 367 |
| 6.5.1. | Forensic Evidence..... | 367 |
| 6.5.2. | Video or Photo Evidence | 386 |
| 6.5.3. | Oral Evidence | 406 |
| 6.5.4. | Documentary evidence | 423 |
| 6.6. | Diagnostic Accuracy of Age Estimations in Child Soldiering Cases..... | 429 |
| 7. | Confirmation Bias in Investigations of Core International Crimes: Risk Factors and Quality Control Techniques | 461 |
| | <i>By Moa Lidén</i> | |
| 7.1. | Introduction | 461 |
| 7.2. | Manifestations of Confirmation Bias in Criminal Investigations..... | 465 |
| 7.2.1. | Suspect-Driven Investigations | 465 |
| 7.2.2. | Asymmetrical Scepticism | 482 |
| 7.2.3. | Specific Investigative Settings..... | 490 |
| 7.3. | Explanations of Confirmation Bias and Possible Debiasing Techniques | 501 |
| 7.3.1. | Cognitive Explanations..... | 501 |
| 7.3.2. | Emotional and Motivational Explanations..... | 508 |
| 7.3.3. | Social and Organisational Explanations | 514 |
| 7.4. | Concluding Remarks | 523 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 8. | International Criminal Investigative Collection Planning, Collection Management and Evidence Review | 529 |
| | <i>By Ewan Brown and William H. Wiley</i> | |
| 8.1. | Introduction | 529 |
| 8.2. | Evidentiary Challenges..... | 533 |
| | 8.2.1. Crime Base Evidence..... | 535 |
| | 8.2.2. Linkage Evidence | 541 |
| | 8.2.3. Contextual Evidence..... | 547 |
| 8.3. | Collection Management..... | 551 |
| | 8.3.1. Defining Collection Management..... | 553 |
| | 8.3.2. The Role of Collection Managers and Their Subordinates | 554 |
| 8.4. | Evidence Review | 557 |
| 8.5. | Concluding Remarks | 558 |

**PART III:
SYSTEMIC CHALLENGES
IN CASE-PREPARATORY WORK-PROCESSES**

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 9. | Prioritisation of Suspected Conduct and Cases: From Idea to Practice | 563 |
| | <i>By Devasheesh Bais</i> | |
| 9.1. | Introduction | 563 |
| 9.2. | Background | 564 |
| 9.3. | The Idea | 565 |
| | 9.3.1. International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia | 565 |
| | 9.3.2. Early Efforts at the International Criminal Court | 569 |
| | 9.3.3. Bosnia and Herzegovina: The Turning Point..... | 572 |
| 9.4. | The Idea in the Mainstream..... | 574 |
| | 9.4.1. ICC-OTP's Policy Paper on Case Selection and Prioritisation | 575 |
| | 9.4.2. Colombia | 578 |
| | 9.4.3. The Democratic Republic of the Congo | 579 |
| | 9.4.4. The Central African Republic..... | 580 |
| | 9.4.5. Representativity as a Case Prioritisation Criteria: A Missed Opportunity | 581 |
| 9.5. | Challenges | 583 |
| 9.6. | The Future | 584 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 10. Enhancing the Quality of Investigations: What Role Can the In-Depth Analysis Charts Play?..... | 587 |
| <i>By Olympia Bekou</i> | |
| 10.1. Difficulties in Linking Law to Facts in Core International Crimes Cases | 588 |
| 10.1.1. Understanding the Legal Requirements for the Prosecution of Core International Crimes..... | 589 |
| 10.1.2. Applying the Legal Requirements to Large Quantities of Evidence..... | 590 |
| 10.2. Applying the Law to the Facts in an Informed, Efficient and Precise Manner | 591 |
| 10.2.1. Pursuing Justice and the Quality of the Process | 591 |
| 10.2.2. The Efficiency of the Criminal Justice Process and the Fight Against Impunity | 591 |
| 10.2.3. Promotion of the Rights of the Accused | 592 |
| 10.3. The ‘In-depth Analysis Charts’: An Overview of the Relevant Case-Law..... | 593 |
| 10.4. Advantages of Adopting In-depth Analysis Charts..... | 598 |
| 10.4.1. Enhancing Clarity in Complex Cases | 598 |
| 10.4.2. Ensuring Fair Trials | 598 |
| 10.5. Concerns Surrounding the Use of In-Depth Analysis Charts ... | 599 |
| 10.5.1. Lack of Legal Basis | 599 |
| 10.5.2. Specific Grounds for Appeal..... | 601 |
| 10.5.3. Fairness | 602 |
| 10.5.4. Expeditiousness | 603 |
| 10.5.5. Impact on Prosecutorial Discretion..... | 605 |
| 10.6. In-Depth Analysis Charts and Investigations | 606 |
| 10.7. Conclusion..... | 608 |
| 11. Controlling the Quality of Reasoning About the Link Between Evidence and Factual Findings | 611 |
| <i>By Simon De Smet</i> | |
| 11.1. Introduction | 611 |
| 11.2. Logical Argumentation and Evidence-Mapping..... | 614 |
| 11.2.1. The Two Basic Resources of the Fact-Finder | 614 |
| 11.2.2. Two Types of Arguments | 619 |
| 11.3. Direct Evidence Does Not Exist..... | 621 |
| 11.4. Argumentation Schemes..... | 626 |
| 11.5. Application of Logical Argumentation in Practice | 632 |
| 11.6. Need for a Practical Tool | 633 |
| 11.7. Conclusion..... | 634 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 12. Investigations of Criminal Responsibility by the ICC Office of the Prosecutor | 639 |
| <i>By Matthias Neuner</i> | |
| 12.1. Introduction | 639 |
| 12.2. Individualisation of Guilt | 642 |
| 12.3. Legal Environment in Which the OTP Conducts Its Investigations..... | 642 |
| 12.3.1. Powers Vested into the ICC | 642 |
| 12.3.2. Regulation 55..... | 645 |
| 12.4. Indicia of Shortcomings During the Investigation into Criminal Responsibility..... | 650 |
| 12.4.1. Negative Judicial Decision About Mode of Liability .. | 650 |
| 12.4.2. Vague Formulation of Criminal Responsibility | 652 |
| 12.4.3. DCC Contains Too Many Variants of Criminal Responsibility Including of Principal and Accessory .. | 654 |
| 12.4.4. Pre-Trial Chamber Invokes Article 61(7)(c) to Adjourn the Confirmation Hearing..... | 659 |
| 12.4.5. Use of Regulation 55 | 659 |
| 12.5. Review of All Investigations Which Resulted in Decisions After a Confirmation Hearing..... | 661 |
| 12.5.1. Cases Ending with a Judgment..... | 662 |
| 12.5.2. Cases Ending at the NCTA Stage | 674 |
| 12.5.3. Cases Ending Before the Commencement of Trial..... | 683 |
| 12.6. Emerging Picture | 693 |
| 12.6.1. Stage at Which Judicial Proceedings Coming Out of an Investigation End | 693 |
| 12.6.2. Interpreting and Pleading Modes of Liability Consistently After an Investigation | 694 |
| 12.6.3. Alternative Charging | 699 |
| 12.6.4. The Elephant in the Room | 700 |
| 12.7. Solutions | 701 |
| 13. Challenges in Charge Selection: Considerations Informing the Number of Charges and Cumulative Charging Practices | 703 |
| <i>By Cale Davis</i> | |
| 13.1. Introduction | 703 |
| 13.1.1. Interview Methodology | 705 |
| 13.2. Charging in Numbers..... | 706 |
| 13.3. Charging Rationales | 710 |
| 13.3.1. Factors Justifying More Charges | 710 |
| 13.3.2. Or Fewer Charges? | 725 |

| | | |
|---------|---|-----|
| 13.4. | The Challenges of Charge Selection..... | 729 |
| 13.5. | Quality Control and the Value of Argument | 730 |
| 13.6. | Conclusion | 733 |
| 14. | Rethinking Disclosure: Embrace the Electronic Disclosure Suite | 735 |
| | <i>By David Re</i> | |
| 14.1. | Introduction and General Proposition..... | 735 |
| 14.2. | Disclosure Principles | 741 |
| 14.2.1. | Exculpatory Material | 747 |
| 14.2.2. | Information that Is ‘Material’ to Defence Preparations for Trial | 750 |
| 14.2.3. | Witness Statements and Related Information | 755 |
| 14.2.4. | Witnesses Called by Chamber | 758 |
| 14.2.5. | Witnesses Called by Participating Victims | 760 |
| 14.2.6. | Material Conditionally Provided to Prosecutor’s Offices..... | 762 |
| 14.2.7. | Extended Disclosure – In-Depth Analysis Charts..... | 764 |
| 14.3. | Material Exempt from Disclosure – A Party’s Internal Work Product 768 | |
| 14.4. | Defence Disclosure Obligations | 770 |
| 14.5. | Relief for Disclosure Violations | 771 |
| 14.6. | The Current Practices | 771 |
| 14.6.1. | IRMCT – Including the ICTY and ICTR..... | 772 |
| 14.6.2. | Special Tribunal for Lebanon | 777 |
| 14.6.3. | International Criminal Court..... | 777 |
| 14.6.4. | Judicial Familiarity with Prosecution Evidence Collections, Searching and Disclosure | 792 |
| 14.6.5. | Prosecution Record Keeping | 794 |
| 14.7. | Conclusion | 796 |

**PART IV:
INVESTIGATION PLANS
AS INSTRUMENTS OF QUALITY CONTROL**

| | | |
|---------|--|-----|
| 15. | Investigation Plans in International Criminal Investigations: The Example of the ICC Office of the Prosecutor | 801 |
| | <i>By Markus Eikel</i> | |
| 15.1. | Introduction | 801 |
| 15.2. | Functions of an Investigation Plan | 802 |
| 15.2.1. | Case Assessment..... | 803 |
| 15.2.2. | Planning..... | 804 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 15.2.3. Reporting | 806 |
| 15.2.4. Management | 807 |
| 15.2.5. Template Investigation Plan | 808 |
| 15.3. Investigation Cycle..... | 810 |
| 15.4. Past and Current ICC-OTP Practice | 811 |
| 15.4.1. Draft Regulations and Regulations <i>Ad Interim</i> 2003 | 811 |
| 15.4.2. OTP Regulations 2009..... | 813 |
| 15.4.3. OTP Operations Manual 2010 and After | 813 |
| 15.4.4. Current Practice | 814 |
| 15.5. Conclusions | 819 |
| 16. Investigation Plans in the Draft Regulations of the ICC Office of the Prosecutor: An Italian Perspective | 821 |
| <i>By Antonio Angotti</i> | |
| 16.1. Introduction | 821 |
| 16.2. The Draft Regulations | 821 |
| 16.2.1. The Making of the Draft Regulations..... | 821 |
| 16.2.2. Investigative Guidelines in the Draft Regulations..... | 823 |
| 16.2.3. The Content of the Investigation Plan | 826 |
| 16.2.4. An Overall Assessment of the Draft Regulations’ Approach to Investigation Plans | 832 |
| 16.3. An Italian Perspective..... | 834 |
| 16.3.1. An Introduction to Criminal Investigations in the Italian Legal System | 834 |
| 16.3.2. Strategic Tools to Direct Criminal Investigations..... | 837 |
| 16.3.3. Reasons for the Absence of Investigation Plans in Italy..... | 845 |
| 16.3.4. Lessons to be Learned | 847 |
| 16.4. The Relevancy to Current International Criminal Law Discourse | 848 |
| 17. Use of Investigation Plans in Indian Criminal Justice: The Crime of Human Trafficking..... | 851 |
| <i>By Usha Tandon and Shreeyash Uday Lalit</i> | |
| 17.1. Introduction | 851 |
| 17.2. Draft Regulations of the ICC-OTP | 852 |
| 17.3. An Overview of the Indian Criminal Justice System | 855 |
| 17.3.1. Investigation v. Inquiry | 855 |
| 17.3.2. Initiation of a Criminal Process | 856 |
| 17.3.3. Preliminary Enquiry under the Criminal Procedure Code..... | 859 |

| | | |
|---------|--|-----|
| 17.4. | Points of Divergence From ICC-OTP Investigation..... | 860 |
| 17.4.1. | Robust Preliminary Examination v. Mandatory Investigation | 860 |
| 17.4.2. | Admissibility of Statements Before Investigative Agency..... | 862 |
| 17.4.3. | Separation of Investigation from Prosecution..... | 863 |
| 17.4.4. | Collection of Evidence at the Request of the Defence | 865 |
| 17.5. | Human Trafficking in India: A Fact-Rich Crime | 866 |
| 17.5.1. | Indian Penal Code (1860) | 867 |
| 17.5.2. | Immoral Traffic Prevention Act (1956) | 869 |
| 17.5.3. | The Trafficking of Persons (Prevention, Protection and Rehabilitation) Bill (2018)..... | 873 |
| 17.5.4. | Other Laws..... | 874 |
| 17.6. | Locating Investigation Plans to Combat Human Trafficking ... | 875 |
| 17.6.1. | Standard Operating Procedure of UNODC and the Government of India..... | 875 |
| 17.6.2. | NHRC Standard Operating Procedure | 878 |
| 17.6.3. | General Investigation Plans | 879 |
| 17.7. | Conclusion: What Can We Learn and Unlearn From the ICC-OTP?..... | 883 |
| 18. | Investigation Plans as a Tool for Managing Investigations in Norway..... | 887 |
| | <i>By Alf Butenschøn Skre</i> | |
| 18.1. | Introduction | 887 |
| 18.2. | A Brief Overview of the Norwegian Context | 888 |
| 18.3. | Investigation Plans in Norway | 894 |
| 18.3.1. | Function and Areas of Application | 894 |
| 18.3.2. | Eight Mandatory Elements of Investigation Plans in Norway | 896 |
| 18.4. | Relevance for Other Jurisdictions?..... | 902 |

**PART V:
JUDICIAL AND PROSECUTORIAL PARTICIPATION
IN INVESTIGATION AND CASE PREPARATION**

| | | |
|-------|---|-----|
| 19. | Quality Control in Case Preparation and the Role of the Judiciary of the International Criminal Court..... | 905 |
| | <i>By Gilbert Bitti</i> | |
| 19.1. | The Timing of Case Preparation..... | 906 |

| | | |
|---------|---|------|
| 19.1.1. | Case Preparation and Conduct of the Preliminary Examination..... | 906 |
| 19.1.2. | Case Preparation and Conduct of the Investigation..... | 919 |
| 19.2. | The Framing of the Charges | 926 |
| 19.2.1. | The ICC Practice Before the Bemba Appeals Judgement..... | 928 |
| 19.2.2. | The Bemba Appeals Judgement: A Divided Chamber with Multiple Views | 932 |
| 19.2.3. | The ICC Jurisprudence After the Bemba Appeals Judgement..... | 937 |
| 19.3. | Conclusion..... | 940 |
| 20. | The Judiciary and Enhancement of the Classification of Alleged Conduct..... | 943 |
| | <i>By Eleni Chaitidou</i> | |
| 20.1. | Judicial Intervention at the ICC..... | 945 |
| 20.1.1. | Preliminary Examinations | 945 |
| 20.1.2. | Authorisation to Commence the Investigation | 951 |
| 20.1.3. | Review of the Prosecutor’s Decision Not to Commence an Investigation | 956 |
| 20.1.4. | Investigation | 962 |
| 20.1.5. | Warrant of Arrest or Summons to Appear | 963 |
| 20.1.6. | Confirmation of Charges | 971 |
| 20.2. | Conclusions | 991 |
| 21. | The Role of the Judiciary in the Enhancement of Quality in the National Investigation and Preparation of Core International Crimes. 993 | |
| | <i>By Leïla Bourguiba</i> | |
| 21.1. | Introductory Remarks..... | 993 |
| 21.2. | Introduction to the French Specialised Unit..... | 995 |
| 21.2.1. | Efficiency of the Proceedings as an Essential <i>Raison d’être</i> | 995 |
| 21.2.2. | Composition and Activities of the Specialised Unit | 997 |
| 21.3. | The Triggering of Jurisdiction: A Self-Imposed Filter for Selection of Cases..... | 1000 |
| 21.3.1. | <i>À la Carte</i> Triggering Mechanism of Universal Jurisdiction..... | 1000 |
| 21.3.2. | <i>In Personam</i> Investigations: A Self-Imposed Calibration | 1003 |
| 21.4. | Reducing the Gap from Geographical Distance to Factual Proximity | 1004 |

| | | |
|---------|---|------|
| 21.4.1. | Acceptance of the Limitations of Lawyers | 1005 |
| 21.4.2. | Developing Tools for the Work on Facts | 1007 |
| 21.5. | Fragility Trackers and Solvers of Procedural Issues..... | 1010 |
| 21.5.1. | Regular Interim Assessments..... | 1010 |
| 21.5.2. | Procedural Issues | 1012 |
| 21.6. | Interface between Pre-Trial Investigations and the Trial: The Judiciary’s Role in Defining the Parameters of the Trial..... | 1013 |
| 21.6.1. | Pre-Trial Brief in the Form of a Judicial Indictment Order | 1013 |
| 21.6.2. | The Preparation and Transmission of a Case Record as a Tool for a Prepared and Focused Trials | 1016 |
| 21.7. | Conclusion..... | 1018 |
| 22. | The Importance of Successful Co-operation Between Police Investigators and the Prosecution Service to Secure Efficient and Fair Court Proceedings and Verdicts..... | 1021 |
| | <i>By Tor-Geir Myhrer</i> | |
| 22.1. | Introduction | 1021 |
| 22.2. | What Is the Purpose of an Investigation? | 1022 |
| 22.3. | What Should be Investigated? | 1025 |
| 22.4. | What Are the Crucial Elements of the Crime? | 1026 |
| 22.5. | Argumentation for the Sentencing..... | 1029 |
| 22.6. | Procedural Requirements and Safeguards | 1031 |
| 22.7. | Final Remarks..... | 1033 |
| 23. | Some Reflections on the Role of Military Justice Mechanisms in the International Criminal Justice System | 1035 |
| | <i>By Gilad Noam</i> | |
| 23.1. | Introduction | 1035 |
| 23.2. | National Investigation Mechanisms: Structure and Standards | 1037 |
| 23.2.1. | Military Investigations: The Applicable Standards.... | 1038 |
| 23.2.2. | Advantages of Military Justice Systems | 1040 |
| 23.3. | Israel’s Mechanism for Examining and Investigating Complaints and Claims Raised in Relation to Violations of the LOAC: The Turkel Commission’s Report and Subsequent Developments..... | 1042 |
| 23.4. | Implications for International Investigations..... | 1046 |
| | <i>Index</i> | 1051 |
| | <i>TOAEP Team</i> | 1067 |
| | <i>Other Volumes in the Publication Series</i> | 1069 |