

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword by Judge LIU Daqun..... i

Foreword by Ambassador Martin Sørbyv

1.	On the Magic, Mystery and Mayhem of Preliminary Examinations	1
	<i>By Carsten Stahn, Morten Bergsmo and CHAN Ho Shing Icarus</i>	
1.1.	The Quality Control Project	1
1.2.	Preliminary Examinations at the International Criminal Court	4
1.3.	Functions, Meanings and Messages of Preliminary Examinations	6
1.4.	Prosecutorial Managerialism	9
1.5.	Discretion	14
1.6.	Re-visiting Methodologies	18
1.7.	Contents of the Following Chapters	21
1.8.	Not a Conclusion	32

PART 1

THE PRACTICE OF PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION: REALITIES AND CONSTRAINTS

2.	Constraints and Quality Control in Preliminary Examination: Critical Lessons Learned from the ICTY, the ICC, the ECCC and the United Kingdom.....	35
	<i>By Andrew T. Cayley</i>	
2.1.	Pre-Investigative Activity at the ICTY	36
2.2.	The Creation of the Khmer Rouge Tribunal and Pre-Investigative Activities at the ECCC	41
2.3.	Pre-Investigative Activities and Complementarity in the United Kingdom in Relation to the Iraq/UK Preliminary Examination ..	50
2.4.	Conclusions	61

3.	The Concern for Quality Control and Norwegian Preliminary Examination Practice	65
	<i>By Runar Torgersen</i>	
3.1.	Introduction	65
3.2.	The Distinction between Preliminary Examination and Investigation in Norwegian Law	65
3.3.	The Scope of Preliminary Examinations in Norwegian Law in Different Types of Cases.....	68
3.4.	Quality Concerns in Preliminary Examinations	69
3.4.1.	‘Quality’ in Criminal Procedure.....	69
3.4.2.	Lack of Regulation as a Cause of Concern in Preliminary Examinations.....	70
3.4.2.1.	Seeking Information in Favour of a Suspect ...	71
3.4.2.2.	Specification of the Suspicion.....	71
3.4.2.3.	Notification and Access to Information.....	71
3.4.2.4.	Right to Refute the Allegations and to Offer Additional Information.....	71
3.4.2.5.	Right to Demand Further Inquiries and Access to Court	71
3.4.2.6.	Speedy Inquiries	72
3.5.	Quality Control in Preliminary Examinations	72
4.	Preliminary Examination in the United States Military: Quality Control and Reform	75
	<i>By Franklin D. Rosenblatt</i>	
4.1.	Introduction	75
4.2.	Non-Judicial Mechanisms of Military Preliminary Examination	77
4.3.	Judicial Mechanisms of Military Preliminary Examination	83
4.4.	The Necessity to Conduct Preliminary Examination On-Scene ..	88
4.5.	Enhancing a Culture of Quality Control for Military Preliminary Examination.....	94
4.6.	Conclusion	99
5.	Pre-Investigation and Accountability in India: Legal and Policy Roadblocks.....	101
	<i>By Abraham Joseph</i>	
5.1.	Introduction	103
5.2.	India and Core International Crimes.....	104
5.2.1.	India’s Objection to the International Criminal Court ..	105
5.2.2.	India’s Approach to International Law Obligations.....	106
5.2.2.1.	Nellie Massacre (1983)	106

5.2.2.2.	Anti-Sikh Riots (1984).....	107
5.2.2.3.	Hashimpura Killings (1987).....	112
5.2.2.4.	Mass Crimes in Gujarat: Godhra and its Aftermath	112
5.2.2.5.	Violence in Orissa against Christians	115
5.2.3.	Conclusion	117
5.3.	Pre-Investigation/Investigation in Indian Criminal Procedural Law.....	118
5.3.1.	The Pre-Investigative/Investigative Framework in India.....	118
5.3.2.	Police in India.....	119
5.4.	Suggestions and Conclusions	124
6.	German Preliminary Examinations of International Crimes.....	127
	<i>By Matthias Neuner</i>	
6.1.	Germany's Implementation of the ICC Statute	127
6.1.1.	Competent Court.....	128
6.1.2.	Federal Prosecutor General.....	129
6.2.	Objectives of Implementation and Preliminary Examinations..	130
6.2.1.	Implementation	131
6.2.2.	Preliminary Examination	131
6.2.2.1.	Primary Inferences from Law	131
6.2.2.2.	Secondary Inferences from Indirectly Applicable Law and Practice.....	133
6.2.2.3.	Conclusion.....	136
6.3.	Measures Available during Preliminary Examinations.....	137
6.3.1.	Measures Infringing Human Rights.....	137
6.3.2.	Overview of Means Available during Preliminary Examination.....	137
6.3.2.1.	Open Source Analysis/Monitoring	138
6.3.2.2.	Informal/Informative Questioning	138
6.3.2.3.	Request Available Data from Other State Authorities	139
6.4.	Preliminary Examination in Practice	142
6.4.1.	Preliminary Examinations without Further Investigations.....	142
6.4.1.1.	JIANG Zemin <i>et al.</i> (People's Republic of China)	142
6.4.1.2.	Ramzan Kadyrow (Chechen Republic within the Russian Federation).....	145
6.4.1.3.	Almatov, Inoyatov <i>et al.</i> (Uzbekistan)	146

6.4.1.4.	Donald Henry Rumsfeld <i>et al.</i> (Abu Ghraib Prison in Iraq).....	150
6.4.2.	Preliminary Examinations Leading to Formal Investigations (And Their Subsequent Closing)	156
6.4.2.1.	Against Unknown Persons (Drone Strike in Pakistan)	156
6.4.2.2.	Colonel Klein <i>et al.</i> (Aerial Bombardment near Kunduz/Afghanistan)	158
6.4.3.	Common Arguments Advanced in Decisions on Preliminary Examinations.....	161
6.4.3.1.	No Specific Link of the Alleged Perpetrator to Germany	162
6.4.3.2.	Preventive Judicial Assistance.....	165
6.4.3.3.	Subsidiarity.....	168
6.4.3.4.	Immunity	170
6.5.	Quality Control of Preliminary Examinations	173
6.6.	Conclusion.....	176
7.	The Legalistic Function of Preliminary Examinations: Quality Control as a Two-Way Street	179
	<i>By Matilde E. Gawronski</i>	
7.1.	Introduction	179
7.2.	Preliminary Examinations as a Legalistic Process	181
7.2.1.	The Legalistic Nature of Preliminary Examinations.....	181
7.3.	The Preliminary Examination Work Process in Brief.....	185
7.3.1.	Phase 1	186
7.3.2.	Phase 2: Jurisdiction	187
7.3.3.	Phase 3: Admissibility	190
7.3.4.	Phase 4: Interests of Justice	192
7.3.5.	Article 53(1) Report.....	194
7.4.	The Concepts of ‘Quality’ and ‘Quality Control’ in the Context of Preliminary Examinations	195
7.4.1.	Quality and Preliminary Examinations: A View from the OTP	195
7.4.2.	Internal Quality Control and External Quality Enhancement.....	198
7.5.	Quality Control and Enhancement in the Preliminary Examination Process.....	200

7.5.1.	Quality Control in Phase 1.....	201
7.5.2.	Quality Control in the Subject-Matter Jurisdiction Assessment of Phase 2.....	203
7.5.3.	Quality Control in the Complementarity Assessment of Phase 3.....	209
7.5.4.	Quality Control in the Interests of Justice Assessment of Phase 4.....	214
7.6.	Conclusions	220
8.	The Pre-Preliminary Examination Stage: Theory and Practice of the OTP’s Phase 1 Activities	223
	<i>By Amitis Khojasteh</i>	
8.1.	Introduction	223
8.2.	Overview of the Phase 1 Process.....	227
8.2.1.	Initial Basic Filtering	228
8.2.2.	Analysis of ‘Warrant Further Analysis’ Communications.....	229
8.2.2.1.	Applicable Standard at Phase 1	230
8.2.2.2.	Scope and Nature of the Analysis	232
8.2.2.3.	Internal Review and Timelines.....	237
8.3.	Prosecutorial Discretion at Phase 1	238
8.4.	Transparency in and Publicity of Phase 1 Activities	241
8.5.	Quality Control in Phase 1	248
8.6.	Conclusion.....	252

PART 2
CASE STUDIES OR SITUATION ANALYSIS

9.	The ICC Involvement in Colombia: Walking the Fine Line between Peace and Justice	257
	<i>By Marina Aksenova</i>	
9.1.	Introduction	257
9.2.	The Dialogical Model of the ICC Involvement in Colombia	263
9.3.	Compatibility of Standards.....	274
9.3.1.	New Vision of Justice – Less Retribution, More Reparations	274
9.3.2.	Prioritization	275
9.3.3.	Penalties.....	277
9.3.4.	Command Responsibility.....	279
9.4.	Conclusion.....	281

10. ‘Magical Legalism’ and the International Criminal Court: A Case Study of the Kenyan Preliminary Examination	283
<i>By Christian M. De Vos</i>	
10.1. Introduction	283
10.2. Preliminary Examinations and the OTP	288
10.2.1. Legal Framework	288
10.2.2. Relationship to Complementarity	293
10.3. The ICC’s Preliminary Examination in Kenya	296
10.3.1. The Waki Commission and the ICC: January–October 2008	298
10.3.2. Special Tribunal for Kenya: October 2008–February 2009	300
10.3.3. Subsequent Efforts: March–November 2009.....	304
10.4. The Kenyan Examination Reconsidered	306
10.4.1. Understanding of Domestic Political Context	306
10.4.2. Overconfident and Underprepared.....	308
10.4.3. A Complementarity Conundrum.....	310
10.5. Conclusion	312
11. Challenges in the Relationship between the ICC and African States: The Role of Preliminary Examinations under the First ICC Prosecutor	317
<i>By Benson Chinedu Olugbuo</i>	
11.1. Introduction	318
11.1.1. The Prosecutor of the ICC	322
11.2. The Theory of Prosecutorial Neutrality	325
11.2.1. Prosecutorial Neutrality: Convergence of Domestic and International Criminal Law Systems.....	327
11.3. Prosecutorial Discretion and Policy Paper on Preliminary Examinations	331
11.3.1. General Principles of Prosecutorial Discretion during Preliminary Examinations.....	332
11.3.1.1. Independence during Preliminary Examinations	332
11.3.1.2. Impartiality during Preliminary Examinations	334
11.3.1.3. Objectivity during Preliminary Examinations	334

11.3.2.	Prosecutorial Discretion and Policy Objectives	
	Guiding Preliminary Examinations	335
11.3.2.1.	Transparency during Preliminary Examinations	335
11.3.2.2.	Ending Impunity through Positive Complementarity during Preliminary Examinations	336
11.3.2.3.	Prevention of International Crimes during Preliminary Examinations	337
11.4.	Preliminary Examinations and Referral of Situations to the Court	339
11.4.1.	Referral of a Situation by a State Party	339
11.4.2.	Initiation of an Investigation by the Prosecutor	341
11.4.3.	Referral by the UNSC	343
11.4.4.	Referrals and Prosecutorial Discretion during Preliminary Examinations	344
11.4.5.	Admissibility and Prosecutorial Discretion	345
11.5.	Prosecutorial Neutrality and Case Studies of Preliminary Examinations Conducted in Africa	347
11.5.1.	Neutrality as Non-biased Decision-making	347
11.5.2.	Neutrality as Non-partisan Decision-making	349
11.5.3.	Neutrality as Principled Decision-making	351
11.5.4.	Uganda and Central African Republic	355
11.5.5.	Sudan and Libya	357
11.5.6.	Kenya and Côte d'Ivoire	358
11.6.	The Exercise of Discretion by the Prosecutor in Preliminary Examinations in Six African Countries – Key Findings	359
11.6.1.	The Significance of the Theory of Prosecutorial Neutrality	363
11.6.2.	Formal Independence of the ICC Prosecutor	364
11.6.3.	Legal Basis for Prosecutorial Discretion for Preliminary Examinations and Guidance	364
11.6.4.	Accountability Mechanisms Regulating the Exercise of Prosecutorial Discretion	365
11.7.	Conclusion	366
12.	Dealing with the Ongoing Conflict at the Heart of Europe: On the ICC Prosecutor's Difficult Choices and Challenges in the Preliminary Examination into the Situation of Ukraine	371
	<i>By Iryna Marchuk</i>	
12.1.	Introduction	371
12.2.	Background	373

12.3.	Uneasy Relationship between Ukraine and the ICC: Constitutional Conundrum	376
12.4.	The ICC Prosecutor’s Preliminary Examination into the Maydan Crimes (Declaration I)	379
12.5.	The ICC Prosecutor’s Preliminary Examination into the Conflict in Eastern Ukraine (Declaration II)	387
12.5.1.	International, Non-International or Hybrid Armed Conflict in Ukraine?.....	389
12.5.2.	No Prospects of Justice in Eastern Ukraine without the ICC Prosecutor’s Involvement?	391
12.5.3.	The ICC Prosecutor’s Access to Evidence and Co-operation with National Authorities in Ukraine.....	393
12.6.	What’s Next? Will the ICC Prosecutor Move Forward with an Investigation?.....	395
13.	Accountability for British War Crimes in Iraq? Examining the Nexus between International and National Justice Responses	399
	<i>By Thomas Obel Hansen</i>	
13.1.	Introduction	399
13.2.	Preliminary Examinations and Positive Complementarity	402
13.2.1.	Assumptions about the Connection between Preliminary Examinations and Positive Complementarity	402
13.2.2.	Lack of Empirical Support and Conceptual Clarity concerning Positive Complementarity	404
13.2.3.	OTP Standards Relating to Positive Complementarity at the Preliminary Examination Phase	408
13.3.	The Iraq/UK Preliminary Examination: Status and Crimes under Scrutiny.....	410
13.3.1.	Closing and Re-opening of the Iraq/UK Examination and Broader Context	410
13.3.2.	The Alleged Crimes	415
13.4.	The OTP’s Strategies, Expectations to Domestic Accountability Processes and Engagement with Other Actors..	420
13.4.1.	Best Case Scenario and Challenges to Positive Complementarity	420
13.4.2.	Key Factors in the Complementarity Assessment	422
13.4.3.	OTP Engagement with Other Stakeholders	425
13.4.4.	Is the OTP Treating the Iraq/UK Examination Differently from Other Preliminary Examinations?	428
13.5.	UK Government Responses to the Preliminary Examination ...	429

13.5.1.	Overall Responses to the ICC’s Re-opening of the Preliminary Examination	429
13.5.2.	Judicial Processes in the UK Addressing Crimes in Iraq and their Connections to the Preliminary Examination.....	432
13.5.3.	The Establishment and Closure of IHAT	435
13.5.4.	How the Fall of PIL Became IHAT’s Fall – Will it Become the Preliminary Examination’s Fall Too?	442
13.5.5.	The Road Ahead for Accountability in the UK.....	445
13.6.	Conclusions	448
14.	The UK in Iraq and the ICC: Judicial Intervention, Positive Complementarity and the Politics of International Criminal Justice.....	451
	<i>By Rachel Kerr</i>	
14.1.	Introduction	452
14.2.	The Iraq War.....	455
14.3.	War Crimes	458
14.4.	Trials and Tribulations.....	460
14.4.1.	Prosecutions.....	460
14.4.2.	Inquiries	465
14.4.3.	IHAT and the Iraqi Civilians Litigation.....	468
14.4.4.	The ICC’s Preliminary Examination	475
14.5.	The Fallout: Law(yers), Politics and War (Crimes).....	480
	Appendix 1: Amnesty Report (2004).....	486
	Appendix 2: Courts Martial	487
	Appendix 3: Judicial Review Cases.....	488
15.	The Situation of Palestine in Wonderland: An Investigation into the ICC’s Impact in Israel	493
	<i>By Sharon Weill</i>	
15.1.	Introduction	493
15.2.	On Deterrence: The Saga of the Settlement Regulation Law	494
15.2.1.	The Legislative Process and ICC Appearance	496
15.3.	The (Unintended) Impact on NGOs	500
15.3.1.	The Shrinking Space.....	500
15.3.2.	De-legitimization and Personal Attacks.....	500
15.4.	Economic Pressure and Its Limits	502
15.5.	The ICC in the Israeli Press	504
15.6.	Positive Complementarity?	507

15.6.1.	From the Duty to Investigate and Prosecute to the Duty to Examine and Re-examine	509
15.6.1.1.	Criminal Investigation	509
15.6.1.2.	Effective Investigation.....	509
15.6.1.3.	Examination	510
15.6.1.4.	Israeli Narratives for Closing Examination ...	511
15.6.2.	Main Structural Deficiencies	513
15.6.2.1.	Independency and Impartiality	513
15.6.2.2.	Civilian Supervision and the Israeli High Court of Justice: From Abstention to Deference.....	514
15.7.	Conclusion	518
16.	Quality Control in the Preliminary Examination of the Georgia Situation	521
	<i>By Nino Tsereteli</i>	
16.1.	Introduction	521
16.2.	Unpacking the Notion of ‘Quality Control’	523
16.2.1.	Defining ‘Quality’	523
16.2.2.	Defining ‘Control’	527
16.2.2.1.	Rationale of Control	527
16.2.2.2.	The Meaning of Control	528
16.2.2.3.	Types of Control: Political, Social, Judicial ..	529
16.2.2.4.	Transparency and Control	533
16.3.	Quality Control in the Preliminary Examination of the Georgian Situation	536
16.3.1.	Political Control.....	536
16.3.2.	Social Control	541
16.3.3.	Reflections on Political and Social Control and their Interplay.....	543
16.3.4.	Prosecutor’s Response to Competing Social and Political Pressures	544
16.3.5.	Judicial Control.....	547
16.3.5.1.	Major Disagreements in the Pre-Trial Chamber’s Decision	548
16.3.5.2.	Reflections on the Quality of Judicial Control.....	550
16.3.6.	Transparency and Control in the Georgian Preliminary Examination	552
16.4.	Concluding Remarks	553

17. The Venture of the Comoros Referral at the Preliminary Examination Stage	555
<i>By Ali Emrah Bozbayındır</i>	
17.1. Introduction	555
17.2. Procedural History	560
17.3. Factual Basis.....	564
17.3.1. The Importance of the Human Rights Council Report .	564
17.3.2. Interception of the Gaza Flotilla by the Israeli Navy and Its Aftermath: Factual Overview and a Summary of the Cases.....	565
17.3.2.1. Events aboard the <i>Mavi Marmara</i>	566
17.3.2.2. Events aboard the <i>Eleftheri Mesogios</i> and the <i>Rachel Corrie</i>	576
17.4. Preliminary Legal Issues	576
17.4.1. Legal Characterization of the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict.....	577
17.4.2. The International Law of Naval Blockade and the Question of the Legality of the Israeli Blockade on Gaza and the Legality of Israeli Attack on the Gaza Flotilla.....	584
17.5. Crimes within the Jurisdiction of the Court.....	602
17.5.1. War Crimes	603
17.5.1.1. Wilful Killing (Article 8(2)(a)(i)).....	610
17.5.1.2. Torture or Inhuman Treatment (Article 8(2)(a)(ii))	610
17.5.1.3. Wilfully Causing Great Suffering (Article 8(2)(a)(iii))	612
17.5.1.4. Extensive Destruction and Appropriation of Property, Not Justified by Military Necessity and Carried out Unlawfully and Wantonly (Article 8(2)(a)(iv))	613
17.5.1.5. Acts of Unlawful Deportation or Transfer or Unlawful Confinement (Article 8(2)(a)(vii))	613
17.5.1.6. Intentionally Directing Attacks against the Civilian Population as Such or against Individual Civilians Not Taking Direct Part in Hostilities (Article 8(2)(b)(i))	614
17.5.1.7. Intentionally Directing Attacks against Civilian Objects (Article 8(2)(b)(ii)).....	614

17.5.1.8. Intentionally Directing Attacks against Personnel, Installations, Material, Units or Vehicles Involved in a Humanitarian Assistance or Peacekeeping Mission in Accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, as Long as They Are Entitled to the Protection Given to Civilians or Civilian Objects under the International Law of Armed Conflict (Article 8(2)(b)(iii)).....	615
17.5.1.9. Pillaging a Town or Place, Even When Taken by Assault (Article 8(2)(b)(xvi)).....	618
17.5.1.10. Committing Outrages upon Personal Dignity, in Particular Humiliating and Degrading Treatment (Article 8(2)(b)(xxi)) ..	618
17.5.1.11. Conclusion.....	619
17.5.2. Crimes against Humanity.....	619
17.6. Main Issues.....	623
17.6.1. Methodology: The Relationship with Other Fact-Finders	623
17.6.2. Analysis of the Prosecutor’s and Pre-Trial Chamber I’s Gravity Assessments	631
17.6.3. Prosecutorial Discretion and Judicial Review	650
17.6.3.1. Pre-Trial Chamber I’s Decision.....	651
17.6.3.2. The Appeals Chamber	654
17.7. The Turkish-Israeli Agreement: An Amnesty?	660
17.8. Concluding Remarks	664
17.9. Postscript	668
Index	671
TOAEP Team.....	681
Other Volumes in the Publication Series	683

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Editors' Foreword to Volume 2</i>	<i>i</i>
--	----------

PART 3

THE NORMATIVE FRAMEWORK OF PRELIMINARY EXAMINATIONS

18. Prosecutorial Ethics and Preliminary Examinations at the ICC	1
<i>By Alexander Heinze and Shannon Fyfe</i>	
18.1. Introduction	1
18.2. Prosecutorial Ethics	3
18.2.1. The Relationship between Law and Morality	3
18.2.2. Justice and Fair Trials	6
18.2.3. Normative Foundations for Specific Prosecutorial Duties.....	8
18.3. Prosecutorial Ethics in International Criminal Law	13
18.3.1. <i>Ius Puniendi</i> and Purpose of Punishment in International Criminal Law	14
18.3.2. Ethics and International Criminal Law	20
18.3.2.1. Normative Moral Foundations for International Criminal Law	20
18.3.2.2. Universal Jurisdiction.....	24
18.3.2.3. Normative Moral Foundations for the ICC	25
18.3.3. Ethical Obligations for the OTP	26
18.3.3.1. General Ethical Rules.....	27
18.3.3.2. Accountability Mechanisms.....	36
18.4. Prosecutorial Discretion and Preliminary Examinations at the ICC	40
18.4.1. Legal Principles of Prosecutorial Discretion	40
18.4.2. Preliminary Examinations and Article 53(1)	45
18.4.3. Prosecutorial Discretion and the “Interests of Justice” ...	49
18.4.3.1. The OTP and Article 53(1)(c)	49
18.4.3.2. Whose Justice?	51
18.4.3.3. Political Considerations and Article 53(1)(c)..	55
18.4.3.4. Deontological and Consequentialist Obligations under Article 53(1)(c)	59

18.4.4.	Accountability Mechanisms and Judicial Review	61
18.5.	Specific Recommendations for OTP Ethics in the Preliminary Examination Phase	63
18.5.1.	Suggested Ethical Obligations	63
18.5.1.1.	Revisions to the OTP’s Policy Guidelines.....	63
18.5.1.2.	Concretization of the OTP’s General Ethic Rules (Especially its Code of Conduct).....	71
18.5.2.	Suggested Internal Accountability Mechanisms	75
18.6.	Conclusion	75
19.	Politics, Power Dynamics, and the Limits of Existing Self-Regulation and Oversight in ICC Preliminary Examinations	77
	<i>By Asaf Lubin</i>	
19.1.	Introduction	78
19.2.	Normative Framework.....	84
19.2.1.	Legislative Structures.....	84
19.2.2.	Prosecutorial Independence and External Review.....	90
19.3.	Existing Oversight Mechanisms	95
19.3.1.	Self-Regulation (‘Office Common Law’).....	96
19.3.1.1.	Policy Paper on Preliminary Examinations ...	100
19.3.1.2.	Public Reporting on Preliminary Examinations	105
19.3.1.3.	Termination of Preliminary Examinations Reports	106
19.3.1.4.	Press Releases and Reporting to the UNSC and the ASP	109
19.3.2.	Judicial Review by the PTC.....	110
19.3.2.1.	Central African Republic.....	113
19.3.2.2.	Registered Vessels of Comoros, Greece, and Cambodia	115
19.4.	The Palestinian Preliminary Examination and the Limits of Existing Oversight Mechanisms	121
19.4.1.	The Preliminary Examination on Palestine: Background.....	121
19.4.2.	Politicization of the Court.....	125
19.4.3.	Prioritization Policies and Exit Strategies.....	130
19.4.4.	Evidentiary Standards at the Preliminary Examination Stage.....	136
19.5.	Areas for Potential Reform.....	143

19.5.1.	Re-phasing of the Preliminary Examination Phase	143
19.5.2.	Redefining the Relationship between the OTP and the PTC.....	145
19.5.3.	Redrafting Existing OTP Policy Papers and the Adoption of New Policies.....	146
19.5.4.	External Review Processes	148
19.6.	Conclusion.....	149
20.	Disarming the Trap: Evaluating Prosecutorial Discretion in Preliminary Examinations beyond the False Dichotomy of Politics and Law	151
	<i>By Jens Iverson</i>	
20.1.	Introduction	151
20.2.	Defining the Trap: The Context of Quality Control in Preliminary Examinations	155
20.3.	What is Quality in Quality Control?.....	161
20.4.	Quality in Preliminary Examination as Potential Didactic Effect	162
20.5.	Contrasting ‘Optimal’ and ‘Maximal’ Choices.....	164
20.6.	Pragmatism and Didactics	172
20.7.	A Pragmatic Approach to the Didactic Effect of Choices Made in Preliminary Examinations	174
20.8.	Limitations to Using Criminal Proceedings as a Means to a Didactic End.....	175
20.9.	Conclusions	178
21.	Make the ICC Relevant: Aiding, Abetting, and Accessorizing as Aggravating Factors in Preliminary Examination	181
	<i>By Christopher B. Mahony</i>	
21.1.	Introduction	181
21.1.1.	Considering the ICC’s Deterrent Effect.....	183
21.2.	Globalization, Liberalism and Proxy-War’s Enablement.....	186
21.2.1.	Conflict’s Multi-dimensional Causes	187
21.2.2.	Syria: A Permissive Global System’s Emblematic Proxy-War.....	189
21.2.3.	How the Global System and Its Leadership Ignore Contemporary Conflicts	193
21.3.	Prosecuting Aiding, Abetting and Accessorizing as a Response to Proxy War	195

21.3.1.	Gravity in Preliminary Examination and the Aiding, Abetting and Accessorizing of Crimes.....	196
21.4.	The Legal Threshold of Aiding, Abetting and Accessorizing,...	199
21.4.1.	Aiding and Abetting under the Rome Statute	200
21.4.2.	Accessorizing under the Rome Statute	204
21.5.	Aiders, Abettors, and Accessories in Afghanistan	205
21.6.	Conclusion	209
22.	The Standard of Proof in Preliminary Examinations	213
	<i>By Matthew E. Cross</i>	
22.1.	Interpreting Article 53(1) of the Statute: Defining the Standard of Proof.....	217
22.1.1.	Ordinary Meaning of the Term “Reasonable Basis to Believe” in Article 53(1).....	220
22.1.2.	Context of the Standard of Proof in Article 53(1).....	224
22.1.3.	Object and Purpose of the Statute and Article 53(1): A Selective Approach to Investigations	234
22.1.4.	The Article 53(1) Standard of Proof: A Summary	238
22.2.	The Scope of Prosecutorial Discretion in Article 53(1).....	238
22.3.	Consequences of the Standard of Proof in Article 53(1)	243
22.3.1.	A Duty to Evaluate the Available Information.....	244
22.3.2.	Prohibitive Effect of Insufficient or Ambiguous Information	245
22.3.3.	Selectivity in Publicly Reported Criminal Allegations in ‘Positively-resolved’ Preliminary Examinations	247
22.3.4.	No <i>De Novo</i> Judicial Review	250
22.4.	Conclusion	251
23.	Reconceptualizing the Birth of the International Criminal Case: Creating an Office of the Examining Magistrate	255
	<i>By Gregory S. Gordon</i>	
23.1.	Introduction	255
23.2.	The Preliminary Examination Process and Objectives	257
23.2.1.	Preliminary Examination Triggers.....	258
23.2.1.1.	Communications and Referrals	258
23.2.1.2.	The Providers and Nature of Information Received	259
23.2.1.3.	Procedural Presumptions	260
23.2.2.	The Four Phases.....	260
23.2.2.1.	Phase 1: Initial Assessment	260
23.2.2.2.	Phase 2: Jurisdiction Assessment	261

23.2.2.3.	Phase 3: Admissibility Assessment	263
23.2.2.4.	Phase 4: Interests of Justice Assessment	264
23.2.3.	Preliminary Examination Activities	265
23.2.4.	Preliminary Examination Termination.....	267
23.2.5.	Preliminary Examination Objectives	267
23.3.	A Preliminary Examination Record of Timeline Inconsistency, Politicization and Uneven Results	268
23.3.1.	Timeline Inconsistencies	268
23.3.1.1.	Preliminary Examinations Triggered by Communications	268
23.3.1.2.	Preliminary Examinations Triggered by Referrals	280
23.3.2.	Politicization of Cases	282
23.3.2.1.	Personality-Driven Politics	282
23.3.2.2.	Situation-Driven Politics	284
23.3.2.3.	Uneven Results.....	287
23.4.	A Proposed Solution: Creation of the Office of the Examining Magistrate	289
23.4.1.	Background.....	289
23.4.2.	Foundations: The Civil Law Examining Magistrate and the ECCC’s Office of Co-Investigating Judges	291
23.4.2.1.	The Traditional Civil Law Examining Magistrate.....	291
23.4.2.2.	The Internationalization of the Examining Magistrate: The Office of the Co-Investigating Judges at the ECCC	293
23.4.3.	Integrating the Office of the Examining Magistrate into the ICC Preliminary Examination Framework	296
23.4.3.1.	The Stages of the Process	296
23.4.3.2.	Timeline Parameters.....	301
23.4.3.3.	Other Logistical Considerations.....	303
23.5.	Potential Advantages and Disadvantages to Integrating the OEM into the Existing Structure	305
23.5.1.	An Analysis of Potential Advantages	305
23.5.1.1.	Promoting Retributive Justice	305
23.5.1.2.	Promoting Procedural Justice.....	306
23.5.1.3.	Promoting Restorative Justice.....	308
23.5.2.	An Analysis of Potential Disadvantages.....	309
23.5.2.1.	Creating Unnecessary Rigidity.....	309
23.5.2.2.	Restricting Prosecutorial Discretion.....	309
23.5.2.3.	Ballooning Bureaucracy and Expenses	310

23.5.3. A Net Positive Assessment	311
23.5.3.1. In Reference to Potential Inflexibility and Restricted Prosecutorial Discretion	311
23.5.3.2. Bureaucracy and Budget	314
23.5.3.3. The Other Advantages Already Considered ..	314
23.6. Conclusion	315

PART 4

TRANSPARENCY, CO-OPERATION AND PARTICIPATION IN PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION

24. Deterrence or Withdrawals?	
Consequences of Publicising Preliminary Examination Activities	321
<i>By Ana Cristina Rodríguez Pineda</i>	
24.1. Introduction	321
24.2. General Framework of Preliminary Examinations	323
24.2.1. Observations on Preliminary Examinations.....	328
24.2.1.1. Preliminary Examinations Apply Equally to All Triggering Mechanisms	329
24.2.1.2. Preliminary Examinations Do Not Constitute Investigations	330
24.2.1.3. The Main Function of Preliminary Examinations Is to Determine Whether or Not a <i>Reasonable Basis Exists</i> to Proceed with an Investigation	333
24.3. Practices on Publicising Past and Present Situations.....	337
24.4. Reasons for Publicising Preliminary Examination Activities	353
24.4.1. Manifest Reasons.....	354
24.4.1.1. Transparency	354
24.4.1.2. Raising Public Awareness.....	357
24.4.2. Secondary Reasons	363
24.4.2.1. To Counter Claims of Geographical Imbalance	363
24.4.2.2. Perception of Productivity.....	367
24.5. Consequences of Publicising Preliminary Examination Activities.....	370
24.5.1. Positive Consequences.....	370
24.5.1.1. Prevention and Deterrence	371
24.5.1.2. Positive Complementarity	374
24.5.2. Negative Consequences	377
24.5.2.1. Withdrawals.....	377
24.5.2.2. Undermining Future Investigations.....	382

24.6. Practical Recommendations to Enhance and Improve Public Communications of the OTP during Preliminary Examinations	384
24.7. Conclusion	391
25. Objectivity of the ICC Preliminary Examinations	395
<i>By Vladimir Tochilovsky</i>	
25.1. Introduction	395
25.2. Two Categories of Situations	396
25.3. Risk of Manipulation	397
25.3.1. Acceptance of Jurisdiction and Self-referrals	398
25.3.2. Publicity of the Preliminary Examination Reports	400
25.4. Prosecutor’s Policy and Nexus to Investigation	401
25.5. Safeguarding Objectivity of the Preliminary Examination	404
25.5.1. Sources of Information	404
25.5.2. On-site Visits	407
25.5.3. Role of Experts in National Investigations	408
25.6. Conclusion	409
26. The ICC’s Interplay with UN Fact-Finding Commissions in Preliminary Examinations	411
<i>By Mutoy Mubiala</i>	
26.1. Introduction	411
26.2. Interaction between the ICC-OTP and UNFFCs in Preliminary Examination	411
26.2.1. Legal and Institutional Framework of the Co-operation between the ICC and the UN	412
26.2.2. Case Studies	414
26.2.2.1. Darfur	415
26.2.2.2. Libya	416
26.2.2.3. Central African Republic II	418
26.3. Quality Control in the Relationship between the ICC-OTP and UNFFCs in Preliminary Examination	420
26.3.1. Preliminary Examination between Fact-Finding and the Review by Pre-Trial Chambers	421
26.3.2. The ‘Justiciability’ of the Information Provided by the UNFFCs	425
26.3.2.1. Kenya	425
26.3.2.2. Côte d’Ivoire	429
26.3.2.3. Issues relating to the Judicial Use of UNFFCs’ Information by the ICC	432

26.3.3.	The ‘Criminalisation’ of the UNFFCs’ Methods of Work.....	433
26.3.3.1.	The Extension of UNFFCs’ Subject Matter to International Criminal Law	433
26.3.3.2.	Quality Control in UN Fact-Finding in Relation to Criminal Justice	435
26.4.	Conclusion	440
27.	Non-States Parties and the Preliminary Examination of Article 12(3) Declarations	441
	<i>By LING Yan</i>	
27.1.	Introduction	441
27.1.1.	The Preliminary Examination of Situations.....	441
27.1.2.	Declarations under Article 12(3) of the Rome Statute ..	442
27.1.3.	Declarations Lodged by Non-States Parties Accepting the Jurisdiction of the ICC	444
27.1.3.1.	Uganda	444
27.1.3.2.	Côte d’Ivoire.....	444
27.1.3.3.	Palestine	445
27.1.3.4.	Ukraine	447
27.1.3.5.	Egypt	448
27.1.4.	Purposes of Article 12(3) Declarations	448
27.2.	Procedure Applicable to Article 12(3) Declarations in the Preliminary Examination Stage	451
27.2.1.	Applying the Same Procedure to Article 12(3) Declarations as the Procedure Applied to the Prosecutor’s <i>Proprio Motu</i> Proceedings	451
27.2.2.	Application of the Procedure to Article 12(3) Declarations and Its Consequence	454
27.2.2.1.	The Procedure Applied to Article 12(3) Declarations.....	454
27.2.2.2.	Lack of Judicial Oversight as a Consequence of the Application.....	455
27.2.2.3.	Lack of Time Limits	457
27.3.	Determination of the Validity of Article 12(3) Declarations	459
27.3.1.	Authority to Determine Whether Palestine is Qualified as a State Capable to Make a Declaration.....	459
27.3.2.	Authority to Determine a Government of a State	463
27.3.3.	Representative to Sign the Declaration on Behalf of the State.....	465
27.4.	Conclusion and Suggestions	467

28. Making Sense of the Invisible: The Role of the ‘Accused’ during Preliminary Examinations	469
<i>By Dov Jacobs and Jennifer Naouri</i>	
28.1. Introduction	469
28.2. Quality Control of the Preliminary Examination Phase:	
Some Basic Groundings	472
28.2.1. The Nature of a Preliminary Examination	472
28.2.1.1. The Legal Nature of a Preliminary Examination	472
28.2.1.2. The ‘Investigative’ Nature of a Preliminary Examination	474
28.2.2. The Temporal Dimension of Quality Control.....	477
28.2.3. Quality Control of the Preliminary Examination Phase: A Question of Perspective	477
28.3. Jurisdiction and the Potential Defendant	480
28.3.1. Identifying a Potential Perpetrator during Preliminary Examination (from a Practical Perspective)	481
28.3.2. Current ICC/OTP Practice	483
28.3.3. Assessment of the OTP Practice	486
28.4. Admissibility and the Potential Defendant	489
28.4.1. Identifying alleged perpetrators when assessing complementarity.	489
28.4.1.1. OTP Policy	489
28.4.1.2. OTP Practice	492
28.4.1.3. ICC Case Law	495
28.4.2. Assessing Gravity	496
28.4.3. Critical Evaluation	501
28.4.3.1. Is a Determination of Admissibility a Legal Requirement during a Preliminary Examination?.....	501
28.4.3.2. Does a Discussion of Admissibility Have Any Practical Merit during a Preliminary Examination?.....	503
28.5. The Status of the ‘Accused’ during a Preliminary Examination.....	503

28.5.1.	The Importance of Taking into Account the Potential Defendant during the Preliminary Examination	504
28.5.2.	The Applicability and Scope of Article 55 during the Preliminary Examination	506
28.5.3.	A New Formal Status for Potential Defendants?	510
28.5.4.	Illustrating Differences in Approach: The Côte d'Ivoire and Gabon Situations	514
28.5.4.1.	The Côte d'Ivoire Situation: Targeting an Individual with No Communication	514
28.5.4.2.	The Gabon Situation: An Indication of Future Policy of the OTP towards a Potential Perpetrator?	516
28.6.	Conclusion	518
29.	Quality Control in the Preliminary Examination of Civil Society Submissions	521
	<i>By Andreas Schüller and Chantal Meloni</i>	
29.1.	Quality Control at the Preliminary Examination Stage: The Role of Civil Society Submissions and Practice at the Domestic Level in Germany	522
29.1.1.	The Role of Civil Society in Developing Criminal Complaints: From Fact-finding to Submissions Triggering Preliminary Examinations.....	523
29.1.2.	Preliminary Examinations in Germany and the Role of Civil Society Submissions.....	526
29.1.2.1.	Selection Criteria in Universal Jurisdiction Cases.....	527
29.1.2.2.	Duration of Preliminary Examinations.....	530
29.1.2.3.	Transparency and Public Outreach.....	531
29.1.2.4.	Limited Rights of Victims to Appeal a Decision.....	532
29.1.3.	Conclusions on Quality Control of Preliminary Examinations in Germany through Civil Society Submissions	533
29.2.	Quality Control in the Preliminary Examination: Civil Society Submissions at the International Criminal Court.	534
29.2.1.	A Preliminary Observation	537
29.2.2.	Can CSOs and Victims Effectively Participate and Counter-balance Prosecutorial Discretion before the Opening of an Investigation?	541
29.2.2.1.	The Submission of Communications.....	542

29.2.2.2. Representations during Authorization to Open an Investigation	543
29.2.2.3. Intervention during the Judicial Review of the Decision Not to Open an Investigation ...	544
29.2.2.4. Lack of Powers with Regard to a Decision Not to Open an Investigation Based on Article 15(6).....	546
29.2.3. Challenging the Prosecutor’s Failure to Open Investigations in the absence of a Decision Not to Open an Investigation.....	547
29.2.4. Conclusions on Preliminary Examinations before the ICC.....	549
30. Civil Society Participation in Preliminary Examinations	553
<i>By Sarah Williams</i>	
30.1. Introduction	553
30.2. Civil Society, Preliminary Examinations and Article 15 Communications.....	556
30.2.1. The Nature of Preliminary Examinations and the Role of Article 15 Communications	556
30.2.2. Do Article 15 Communications Influence the Prosecutor?	558
30.2.3. Quality of Article 15 Communications and Standard of Review.....	563
30.2.4. What is the Aim of Article 15 Communications?.....	566
30.2.5. The Absence of Standing for Judicial Review in Preliminary Examinations	569
30.3. The <i>Amicus Curiae</i> and the Potential to Influence and Regulate Prosecutorial Discretion	575
30.3.1. The <i>Amicus Curiae</i>	575
30.3.2. Using the <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Mechanism to Influence the Prosecutor?	576
30.4. Conclusions	584

PART 5
THEMATICITY IN PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION

31. Quality Control in Preliminary Examination of Rape and Other Forms of Sexual Violence in International Criminal Law: A Feminist Analysis	589
<i>By Usha Tandon, Pratibha Tandon and Shreeyash U. Lalit</i>	
31.1. Introduction	589
31.2. Understanding the Feminist Perspective in Sexual Violence	592
31.2.1. Reasons for Targeting Women	592
31.2.2. Health Impairments of Sexualized Violence.....	594
31.2.3. Effects of Gendered Stigma	595
31.3. Feminist Engagements with the Rome Statute	596
31.4. Quality Control in Preliminary Examinations	601
31.4.1. Initiation of Preliminary Examinations.....	603
31.4.2. Jurisdiction.....	604
31.4.3. Admissibility.....	606
31.4.3.1. Complementarity	606
31.4.3.2. Gravity.....	610
31.4.4. Interests of Justice.....	614
31.4.5. The Lack of Concrete Time Frame	616
31.5. OTP Policy Papers	617
31.5.1. Policy Paper on Preliminary Examinations, 2013	617
31.5.2. Policy Paper on Sexual and Gender-Based Crimes, 2014.....	619
31.5.3. Feminist Dimensions of the Policy Papers	620
31.6. Current Status of Preliminary Examinations <i>vis-à-vis</i> Sexual Offences.....	622
31.6.1. Ongoing Preliminary Examinations.....	623
31.6.2. Completed with Decision to Investigate	624
31.6.3. Closed with Decision Not to Investigate	625
31.7. Conclusion	626
32. Preliminary Examinations and Children: Beyond Child Recruitment Cases and Towards a Children’s Rights Approach.....	631
<i>By Cynthia Chamberlain</i>	
32.1. Introduction	631
32.2. The Prosecutor’s Policy on Children	632
32.3. The Relevant Legal Framework	634

32.4.	Quality of Communications	639
32.5.	Analysing the Article 53 Test from a Children’s Rights Perspective.....	643
32.5.1.	Gravity	645
32.5.2.	Interests of Victims	646
32.5.3.	The Interests of Justice	650
32.6.	Conclusions	652
33.	Casting a Larger Shadow: Premeditated Madness, the International Criminal Court, and Preliminary Examinations	655
	<i>By Mark Kersten</i>	
33.1.	Introduction: Shadow Politics and the International Criminal Court.....	655
33.1.1.	Overview	658
33.2.	An Orthodox Understanding of Preliminary Examinations	659
33.3.	Preliminary Examinations and Assumptions about the ICC’s Desired Impact and Interests	663
33.4.	A ‘Madman Theory’ of Preliminary Examinations	668
33.4.1.	Nixon, Kissinger and ICC Preliminary Examination Strategies	668
33.4.2.	Growing Older, Growing Bolder: The ICC and Preliminary Examinations	670
33.5.	Strategies in the Preliminary Examination ‘Toolbox’: Thinking through Drawbacks	675
33.6.	Conclusion: An Opportunity to Think of Preliminary Examinations Creatively	679
34.	Open Source Fact-Finding in Preliminary Examinations	681
	<i>By Alexa Koenig, Felim McMahon, Nikita Mehandru and Shikha Silliman Bhattacharjee</i>	
34.1.	Introduction	681
34.2.	The Rise of Open Source Investigations for Intelligence Gathering and Human Rights Monitoring.....	684
34.2.1.	Brief History of Open Source Intelligence: 1853 to Present	685
34.2.2.	The Shifting Nature of the Internet: Web 1.0 to Web 2.0.....	691
34.3.	The Use of Open Source Information to Advance Preliminary Examinations at the ICC.....	695

34.3.1. Guiding principles.....	698
34.3.1.1. Independence.....	698
34.3.1.2. Impartiality	699
34.3.1.3. Objectivity	700
34.3.2. Statutory Factors	701
34.3.2.1. Phases 1 and 2: Jurisdiction.....	701
34.3.2.2. Phase 3: Admissibility	705
34.3.2.3. Phase 4.....	708
34.3.3. Policy Considerations	709
34.4. Conclusion.....	710
35. ICC Preliminary Examinations and National Justice: Opportunities and Challenges for Catalysing Domestic Prosecutions. 711	
<i>By Elizabeth M. Evenson</i>	
35.1. Overview of the Preliminary Examination Process.....	713
35.2. Overcoming Inability and Unwillingness through Positive Complementarity	716
35.3. OTP's Approach to Encouraging National Proceedings in Preliminary Examinations	721
35.4. Key Challenges.....	722
35.4.1. Context Matters	722
35.4.2. Importance of Strategic Alliances.....	723
35.4.3. Passive v. Active Effects	723
35.4.4. Effects of the ICC's Admissibility Regime and OTP's Prosecutorial Policies.....	724
35.4.5. Absence of Timelines.....	726
35.4.6. Maintaining Leverage and the Use of Publicity	727
35.4.7. Limited Resources	729
Index	731
TOAEP Team.....	741
Other Volumes in the Publication Series	743